THE AREA VARIANCE

∞∞∞ QUESTIONS THE ZBA WILL CONSIDER ∞∞∞∞

- 1. What is the benefit to applicant, if the variance is granted, as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community?
- 2. Will an undesirable change be produced in the character of neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties created by the granting of the variance?
- 3. Can the benefit sought by the applicant be achieved by some method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance?
- 4. Is the requested variance substantial when compared to the requirements of the ordinance or Local Law?
- 5. Will the proposed variance have an adverse impact or effect on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district?
- 6. Is the alleged hardship self-created? If so, the Board may consider this factor, but it will not by itself prevent the Board from granting a variance.
- 7. Is this the minimum variance needed in this case, while at the same time, preserving and protecting the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community?
- 8. Does the Board wish to impose reasonable conditions directly related to the proposed use of the premises for the purpose of minimizing any adverse impact which the variance may have on the neighborhood or community?

Applicant:		Variance No.:		
		Variance No.:		
Address: _		Zoning District	,,	
-		Notice Published:		
	•	Notice to County:		
		Hearing Held on:		
Property Loc		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Applicable S	ection of the Zoning Law:			
		eard of Appeals shall balance ealth, safety and welfare of th		
FACTORS	CONSIDERED:	[Town Law §267	-b(3) / Village Law	§7-712-b(3)]
1.		e be produced in the character ties be created by the granting		
Reasons:				———
·				
2.		he applicant be achieved by sor		
D	applicant to pursue, other	than an area variance?	☐ YES	□ио
Reasons:				<u> </u>
3.	Is the requested area varia		☐ YES	□no
Reasons:		-		
4		land and allowed officet are	i	mbunical an
4.		ce have an adverse effect or in the neighborhood or distric		physical of NO
Reasons:				
			•	
5.		elf-created? (This consideration sl		
	of the Board of Appeals, variance)	but shall not necessarily preclu	Ide the granting YES	or the area
Reasons:				

DETERMINATION OF ZBA BASED ON THE ABOVE FACTORS:

The 2	ZBA, after taking into	onsideration the abov	ve five (5)	factors, f	inds that	l I	
	-	pplicant DOES NOT efore the variance req			ment to	the neigh	iborhood or
		licant DOES outweigh ce request is approved		ent to the r	eighborh	ood or cor	nmunity and
Zoni	ZBA further finds that a ving Law is the minimum vie neighborhood and the	variance that should be	granted in o elfare of tl	order to pr	eserve an	d protect t	of the
	NDITIONS:		[Town]	Law §267 -b	o(3)(c) / Vi	Ilage Law {	§7-712-b(3)(c)
neig		ly, for the following re	easons:				
Co	ndition No. 2:						
Ad	verse Impact to be Min	imized:					
Da	ted:		Chair	man, Zor	ing Boa	rd of App	peals
		∞∞∞ RECORI	OF VO.	TE ∞∞∞			
	Chair: Member: Member: Member: Member:	Member Name:		Aye	Nay 1	Absent	Abstain □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □

THE USE VARIANCE

∞∞∞ QUESTIONS THE ZBA WILL CONSIDER ∞∞∞

- 1. If the variance is not granted, will the applicant be deprived of all economic use or benefit from the property in question?
- 2. Has the applicant shown that he/she will suffer economic deprivation by competent financial evidence?
- 3. Is the alleged hardship unique to the property in question and has the applicant shown that it does not apply to a substantial portion of the district or neighborhood?
- 4. Has the applicant shown that if the use variance is granted, it will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood?
- 5. Is the alleged hardship self-created?
- 6. Is the variance, which may be granted, the minimum variance needed to address the unnecessary hardship proven by the applicant, while at the same time preserving and protecting the character of the neighborhood and the health, safety and welfare of the community?
- 7. Does the Board wish to impose reasonable conditions directly related to the proposed use of the premises for the purpose of minimizing any adverse impact which the variance may have on the neighborhood or community?

Applica	ant:	Variance No.:
	ant:	Variance No.:
Address:		Zoning District
		Notice Published:
		Notice to County:
		Hearing Held on:
Propert	ty Location:	
-	able Section of the Zoning Law:	
-FF		
applic tests	cable zoning regulations and restrictions h	ranted without a showing by the applicant that ave caused unnecessary hardship. The following allowed by zoning on the property, including uses
1.		nnot realize a reasonable return, provided that lack of competent financial evidence? YES NO
Proof:		ILLUSTRATIONS OF FINANCIAL EVIDENCE *Bill of sale for the property, present value of property, expenses for maintenance. *Leases, rental agreements. *Tax bills *Conversion costs (for a permitted use) Realtor's statement of inability to rent/sell
2.	Has the applicant proved that the allege and does not apply to a substantial port	ed hardship relating to the property in question is uniquestion of the district or neighborhood?
Proof		ILLUSTRATIONS OF UNIQUENESS *Topographic or physical features preventing development for a permitted use. *Why would it be possible to construct the applicant's proposal and not any of the permitted

of:		
01:		ILLUSTRATIVE NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER FACTORS
		*Board members' observations of neighborhood.
		*Expected effect of proposal on neighborhood, for example, change in parking patterns, noise levels,
		lighting, traffic.
	Has the applicant proved that the alleged	hardship was not self-created?
	☐ YES ☐ NO	and only was not bold created.
oof:		SELF-CREATED HARDSHIP
	and the state of t	*What were the permitted uses at th time the
		property was purchased by the applicant? *Were substantial sums spent on remodeling for a
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		use not permitted by zoning?
		★Was the property received through inheritance,
		★Was the property received through inheritance,
		★Was the property received through inheritance,
he Z		*Was the property received through inheritance, court order, divorce? ED UPON THE ABOVE FACTORS:
he <i>Z</i>	DETERMINATION OF ZBA BAS. BA, after reviewing the above four (4) pro That the applicant has failed to prove un	*Was the property received through inheritance, court order, divorce? ED UPON THE ABOVE FACTORS:
he <i>Z</i>	DETERMINATION OF ZBA BAS BA, after reviewing the above four (4) pro	*Was the property received through inheritance, court order, divorce? ED UPON THE ABOVE FACTORS: cofs, finds:
ne Z	DETERMINATION OF ZBA BAS. BA, after reviewing the above four (4) pro That the applicant has failed to prove un (4) tests required by the state statutes.	*Was the property received through inheritance, court order, divorce? ED UPON THE ABOVE FACTORS: cofs, finds: unecessary hardship through the application of the four
ne Z	DETERMINATION OF ZBA BASE BA, after reviewing the above four (4) pro That the applicant has failed to prove un (4) tests required by the state statutes. That the applicant has proven unnecessar	*Was the property received through inheritance, court order, divorce? ED UPON THE ABOVE FACTORS: Doofs, finds: Innecessary hardship through the application of the four ary hardship through the application of the four (4) test
ne Z	DETERMINATION OF ZBA BAS. BA, after reviewing the above four (4) pro That the applicant has failed to prove un (4) tests required by the state statutes. That the applicant has proven unnecessarequired by the state statutes. In finding	*Was the property received through inheritance, court order, divorce? ED UPON THE ABOVE FACTORS: cofs, finds: necessary hardship through the application of the four ary hardship through the application of the four (4) test and such hardship, the ZBA shall grant a variance to allow
ee Z	DETERMINATION OF ZBA BASE BA, after reviewing the above four (4) pro That the applicant has failed to prove un (4) tests required by the state statutes. That the applicant has proven unnecessare required by the state statutes. In finding use of the property in the manner detailed	*Was the property received through inheritance, court order, divorce? ED UPON THE ABOVE FACTORS: Doofs, finds: Innecessary hardship through the application of the four ary hardship through the application of the four (4) test
he <i>Z</i>	DETERMINATION OF ZBA BASE BA, after reviewing the above four (4) pro That the applicant has failed to prove un (4) tests required by the state statutes. That the applicant has proven unnecessare required by the state statutes. In finding use of the property in the manner detailed	*Was the property received through inheritance, court order, divorce? ED UPON THE ABOVE FACTORS: Dofs, finds: Innecessary hardship through the application of the four ary hardship through the application of the four (4) tests such hardship, the ZBA shall grant a variance to allowed below, which is the minimum variance that should
he Z	DETERMINATION OF ZBA BAS. BA, after reviewing the above four (4) pro That the applicant has failed to prove un (4) tests required by the state statutes. That the applicant has proven unnecessarequired by the state statutes. In finding use of the property in the manner detailed granted in order to preserve and protect safety and welfare of the community:	*Was the property received through inheritance, court order, divorce? ED UPON THE ABOVE FACTORS: Dofs, finds: Innecessary hardship through the application of the four ary hardship through the application of the four (4) tests such hardship, the ZBA shall grant a variance to allowed below, which is the minimum variance that should
]	DETERMINATION OF ZBA BASE BA, after reviewing the above four (4) pro That the applicant has failed to prove un (4) tests required by the state statutes. That the applicant has proven unnecessarequired by the state statutes. In finding use of the property in the manner detailed granted in order to preserve and protect safety and welfare of the community:	*Was the property received through inheritance, court order, divorce? ED UPON THE ABOVE FACTORS: oofs, finds: necessary hardship through the application of the four ary hardship through the application of the four (4) test, such hardship, the ZBA shall grant a variance to allowed below, which is the minimum variance that should the character of the neighborhood and the health,

(1

CONDITIONS:	The ZBA finds that the following conditions are necessary in order to minimize adverse impacts upon the neighborhood or community, for the following reasons:
Condition No. 1:	
Adverse impact to be	minimized:
Condition No. 2:	
Adverse impact to be	minimized:
Condition No. 3:	
Adverse impact to be	minimized:
Dated:	Chairman, Zoning Board of Appeals
	∞∞∞ RECORD OF VOTE ∞∞∞
Chair: Member: Member: Member: Member	Member Name: Aye Nay Absent Abstain